Application No. Applicant(s) 10/021,012 YASUKAWA, MASAHIRO Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2871 Mike Qi All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Mike Qi. (2) Mr.Jeffery M.Lillywhite. Date of Interview: 19 August 2003. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1] applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1,3,4,7,10,12 and 14. Identification of prior art discussed: Kobayashi and Matsunaga. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \times N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the amended limitations such as the passivation film formed on a thickness side, the definition of the scribed region and the metal light shielding layer under the pixiel electrodes and the space between the pixel electrodes may be distinguished from the prior art, but the examnier needs to do further consideration and search... (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required Attachment to a signed Office action.